DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 407 234 SE 059 970

AUTHOR High, Robert V.; Marcellino, Patricia A.

TITLE Computer Usage among High School Teachers of Mathematics and

College Mathematics Faculty.

PUB DATE 97 NOTE 11p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS College Faculty; *Computer Uses in Education; *Educational

Technology; High Schools; Higher Education; Internet;
*Knowledge Base for Teaching; *Mathematics Instruction;

Secondary School Teachers

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a survey instrument designed to measure opinions regarding computer knowledge and usage among high school teachers of mathematics (N=66) and college faculty of mathematics (N=25). Findings indicate that the college faculty made reference to computer usage more often in their lectures than did the high school teachers. Additionally, the college faculty estimated their knowledge of computers higher than did the high school teachers, but evaluated their students' knowledge as lower than the evaluation given by the high school teachers. Finally, both the high school teachers and the college faculty agreed that they rarely used the Internet in the classroom, but both groups said they anticipated more usage in the future. The survey instrument is also included. (Author/JRH)



Computer Usage Among High School Teachers of Mathematics and College Mathematics Faculty

Robert V. High, Ph.D. Patricia A. Marcellino, M.A.

Adelphi University Garden City, New York

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES **INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improveme CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as one or organization originating it. EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



Abstract

A survey instrument designed to measure opinions regarding computer knowledge and usage among high school teachers of mathematics and college faculty of mathematics was developed by the authors. The survey was distributed to thirty randomly-selected high school districts and thirteen colleges, all located in Long Island, New York. The final sample consisted of 66 high school mathematics teachers and 25 college faculty of mathematics. The college faculty made reference to computer usage more often in their lectures than did the high school teachers. Additionally, the college faculty estimated their knowledge of computers higher than did the high school teachers, but evaluated their students' knowledge as lower than the evaluation given by the teachers. Finally, both the high school teachers and college faculty agreed that they rarely used the Internet in the classroom, but both groups said they anticipated more usage in the future. The survey instrument is included.



Introduction

It's been estimated by the Office of Technology Assessment (1995) that the number of computers in K-12 schools will be 5.8 million during 1995, one for every nine students. Despite this low ratio, it has been shown by a number of studies that the majority of K-12 teachers are not fully utilizing the new technologies (Hunt & Bohlin, 1995). Lack of training has been cited as one reason, that has caused K-12 teachers to feel inadequately prepared to use computers in the classrooom (Topp, Mortensen & Grandgenett, 1995).

The purpose of the current study is to determine how high school mathematics teachers and college faculty of mathematics rate their knowledge of computers. To that end, a survey instrument was developed using seven-point Likert-type scales. The questions asked if the respondent used knowledge of computer technology in their classes as well as questions concerning their own knowledge of computers and how they viewed their students' knowledge of computer technology.

The Sample

In Nassau County, Long Island, New York, there are approximately sixty high school districts. From these districts, thirty districts were randomly selected. Cover letters and five survey instruments were mailed, with postage-paid return envelopes included, to the mathematics chair of each high school. The letter asked the chair to distribute the surveys randomly to the first five teachers he/she encountered from the mathematics department during the day. Of the 150 surveys mailed out, 66 were returned.

Of the 44% returned, the sample included 31 male mathematics teachers and 34 female mathematics teachers (1 respondent did not answer the gender question). Over 50% of the respondents indicated that they had twenty years or more teaching experience.

The college sample was derived from a mailing to thirteen colleges located in



Nassau, Suffolk, or Queens Counties, all of which are geographically located in Long Island, New York. Each college chair was mailed a cover letter and five survey instruments. Of the 65 surveys mailed out, 25 were returned (response rate of 38%).

The college faculty sample contained responses from 17 male mathematics college faculty and 8 female mathematics college faculty. Similar to the high school respondents, over 50% of the college faculty indicated having over twenty years teaching experience.

Results

The respondents were asked to indicate, on a seven-point scale, how often they made reference to computer technology and software in their lectures. A score of four was indicative of sometimes referencing computer usage in their lessons. Above a four indicated more than passing interest in computer usage included in class lectures. On this question, only 30% of the high school teachers answered with a five or better, whereas a full 60% of the college faculty so indicated (Z=2.65, p<.01). The college mathematics faculty are referencing computer usage in their lectures more often than the high school mathematics teachers.

Not surprisingly, when the respondents were asked to estimate the amount of their own knowledge and expertise concerning computers, the high school teachers chose a score of five or more (considerable knowledge) only 41% of the time, whereas the college faculty graded themselves at this level 72% of the time (Z= 2.86, p<.01).

When asked to estimate the amount of knowledge of computers for their students, the high school teachers rated the student's knowledge, higher on average, than the college faculty (although not significantly). Perhaps the college faculty, ranking their own knowledge of computers higher than the teachers did, are more demanding and thus, rank the student's knowledge lower than the teachers do. The respondents were also asked for their opinion as to what percentage of their students have computers at home. A full 85% of the high school teachers felt that at



least half their students had computers at home, whereas only 55% of the college faculty felt that way (Z=2.76, p<.01).

On other questions there tended to be general agreement between the high school teachers and the college faculty. When asked about using the Internet in the classroom, 60% of the teachers and 44% of the college faculty indicated no use at all. This, in spite of the fact that all the colleges and most of the high schools are fully wired for the Internet and have computer labs with the Internet widely available to the students. Both groups did agree that they expect to use more of the Internet in their lectures in the future (82% of the teachers and 80% of the college faculty).

Discussion

Consistent with studies indicating that high school teachers tended to be poorly trained in the use of computer technology, this study has shown that they have rated their own knowledge of computer technology significantly lower than the self-rating of knowledge given by college mathematics faculty. The college faculty have also indicated that they refer to computers and utilize computer technology more often than their couterparts on the high school level. Interestingly, the college faculty have indicated that the percentage of their students with computers at home is less than what the high school teachers indicated.

The fact that there was an equal return among male and female high school teachers, but fewer females on the college faculty, is consistent with national findings that show females being clustered in K-12 with regard to mathematics teaching.



References

Office of Technology Assessment, U.S. Congress, (1995). Teachers & technology: Making the connection. OTA report summary. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Topp, N.W., Mortensen, R., & Grandgenett, N. (1995). Building a technology-using faculty to facilitate technology-using teachers. Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 11(3), 11-14. SP 524 325.



Computer Usage Survey College Teachers

The following survey is designed to determine the extent to which computers and/or calculators are being used in education. Surveys are to be completed anonymously. Please do not indicate your name or school anywhere on the survey. Thank you for your cooperation.

Demogra	aphics:				
1. Please	e indicate how	long you have	been a teacher	г.	
New	1-5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	16-20 years	20+ years
2. Your	Gender:	Male	Female	<u> </u>	
En Mi Sc Hi Fo Cc Bu	ubject you teachglishathematicsstoryoreign Language omputerssinessher (please special parts and the special parts are special parts and the special parts are special parts are special parts.	e			
4. Do yo	ou <i>principally</i> t			 Graduate	



Computer/Calculator Usage:	Com	puter/Cal	lculator	Usage:
----------------------------	-----	-----------	----------	--------

l Never	2 Seldom	3	4 Sometimes	5	6 A Lot	7 All the time
	_		, how often do yo ding assignments			of a
1 Never	2 Seldom	_3	4 Sometimes	5	6 A Lot	7 All the time
	g the academic or in your teach		, how often do yo	ou use and	or refer to th	ne use of a
1 Never	2 Seldom	3	4 Sometimes	5	6 A Lot	7 All the time
** If you	ı use a calculat	or in the o	classroom, please	indicate v	which one.	



Yes

No

Q	Estimate the	amount of vour	knowledge	concerning	computers
7.	Estimate me	annount of your	KIIOWICUEC	COMCOMINE	computers.

1		3	4	 6	7
None	Little		Some	A Lot	Extensive

10. Estimate the average number of hours per week that **you** spend on a computer, preparing lessons, doing research, etc.

0	1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	11+

11. Is your work on the computer departmentally or team-focused or do you work individually on the computer preparing lessons?

Departmental	Individually	Do Not Use for lessons

12. Estimate the *average* amount of knowledge concerning computers of your students.

13. Estimate the percentage of your students who have computers in their home.

1		3	4		6
None	below 20%	30%	50%	70%	Above 80%



14.	Do vou i	incorporate	information	from the	Internet in	vour class	lessons?
	20 J Ca.		mitorination	ALCIN CITO	micolliet mi	Jour Grapp	TODDOILD.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
None	Little		Some		A Lot	Extensive

15. Looking ahead to the next few years, how much *more* do you anticipate relying on computer software and/or Internet access in your teaching lessons?

1		3	4	 6	7
No More	Little		Some	A Lot	Extensive





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

1.	DO	CU	MENT	, IDEI	NTIFI	CA	MOIT
----	----	----	------	--------	--------------	----	------

Title: Computer USAGE Among High School mathematics And College mathematics F	tealer of Aculty
Author(s): Robert V. High the patricia A. W	Ancellino
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media (n.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

Thereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here→ please

Organization/Address:

Apolphi University
EAnoew City, MY 11530

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Robert V. HIGH, Ph.D

Telephone: \$77-46

E-Mail Address:

Pate: 4-15-97

SAble. Apelphi. edu



III. DC CUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please plovide the following information regarding the availability of the document: (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significately more stringant for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

	•			•		<u>::</u>				4		
ublisheDi	stributor:			•					•.	• :		
		•							,	. **		
	·····								••••••			······································
ldress:	•											*
	•	×.										
		: •				·		:				
rice:				•••••••••					: .	•	\.	
					•					,		•
<u> </u>	<u>. · · </u>			<u> </u>	· · ·							
•				•		*						
V . E =1	FERRAI	OF	ERIC 1	ro co	PYRIG	HT/REPRO	DUCTI	ON	AIĠŀ	TS	IOLDE	R:
						•			•			
the right to	o grant repre	duction	release is	held by sc	neone oth	er than the addro	ssee, pleas	e prov	i de t he	appropr	iate name	and addre
<u>.</u>									<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ame:		•				•				7.	• :	
								•				
	i			• . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								***************************************
ddrese:	•								••			
	• .	• •						:	:	٠,		
	•	•	•		·							
÷, ·	•. •	÷						÷	÷			
						•		,	•	•	•	
1.7	•		· · ·						·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		٠.						;			.::	
	<u>.</u>				- n 4			•			:	
V. \ }	HERE T	O SE.	1D TH	IS FOR	(M:				•	÷		:
								· ·	<u> </u>			
			5010 01						•		<i>:</i>	
Send th∷ f	form to the	ioll owin g	ERIC CIE	aringnous	J.					•		
	•	1.			·			•	·			
	٠. ٠	• • •				,	·				••	
	•			•		_				:	.•	
				•					•	••		
•						•		٠.		• • •		
•												

Howevur, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1100 West Street, 2d Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WV/W: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

